

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,778	-	05/27/2004	David J. Armstrong	215504082003	3777	
26496	7590	10/06/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
		IEBERMAN	DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE			
314 PHILADELPHIA AVE. TAKOMA PARK, MD 20912				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3611		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/709,778	ARMSTRONG, DAVID J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cassandra Davis	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
,	Adminer. Note the attached Offic	C AGGOT OF TOTAL.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Drity documents have been receiven The properties of the prope	tion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4 and 14, the phrase "said sphere" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears as if the phrase "largerthan" should read "larger than". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Orsini, U.S. Patent 5,027,539.

With respect to claims 1 and 3, Orsini teaches a pole restrained kite or airfoil for a vehicle antenna 1, comprising a rising member 14 having an aperture (not labeled), and fixed wings 12, in communication with the rising member. The rising member is in the form of a balloon having a sphere upper portion. (See figure 2).

With respect to claims 2 and 4, since the applicant does not define light weight, the rising member/balloon taught by Orsini is considered to be lightweight.

4. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Hara, U. S. Patent 5,182,146.

With respect to claim 8, O'Hara teaches a decorative vehicle air speed indicator comprising a staff 12, an arm 40, in communication with said staff, and a fastening means 16 in communication with the arm.

With respect to claim 10, the staff is long.

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 10-12, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ackman, Jr., U. S. Patent 1,441,261.

With respect to claims 1, 8, and 10, Ackman teaches an aerial device comprising a rising member (airplane) having an aperture (not labeled), and fixed wings **b** in communication with the airplane. Ackman also teaches means for attaching the airplane to a vehicle comprising a long staff 1, an arm 10, and a fastening bracket 11 and 12.

With respect to claims 2 and 11, since the applicant does not define light weight, the airplane taught by Ackman is considered to be lightweight.

With respect to claims 5 and 15, Ackman teaches a sleeve 15, in communication with the airplane, wherein the sleeve is larger that the staff 1.

Claims 8, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Conway, U. S. Patent 6,378,453.

With respect to claims 8-10, Conway teaches a foldable flexible vehicle locator comprising a long staff 10, an arm 21 in communication with the staff and a fastening means in the form of a hook 29 in communication with the arm.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Claims 1, 2, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Godman, U.S. Patent 1,927,399.

With respect to claims 1 Godman teaches an airplane comprising a body 6 having an aperture (not labeled), and fixed wings 18 in communication with the airplane.

With respect to claim 5, Godman teaches a sleeve 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackman in view of Conway.
- 8. Claims 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Hara in view of Orsini. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to construct the air speed indicator taught by O'Hare with spherical rising member as taught by Orsini to provide a means to enhance the aesthetic appearance of the device.
- 9. Claims1, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trent et al., U.S. Patent 3,557,447. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time this invention was made to construct the display means 22 taught by Trent with indicia to provide a means to covey a message to the viewer.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,778

Art Unit: 3611

10. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Hara in view of Trent et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time this invention was made to construct the rising means taught by O'Hara with the display means 22 taught by Trent with indicia to provide a means to covey a

message to the viewer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 5

CD September 30, 2004